Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 216368

16

ORIGIN ARA-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

66617

DRAFTED BY: ARA/BR:TSHUGART

APPROVED BY: ARA/BR:RWZIMMERMANN

----- 068392

R 112050Z SEP 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY BRASILIA INFO AMCONSUL SAO PAULO

UNCLAS STATE 216368

FOLLOWING REPEAT STOCKHOLM 4471 SENT SECSTATE INFO ABIDJAN ANKARA BONN CANBERRA ISTANBUL LONDON PARIS SEOUL RIO DE JANEIRO TAIPEI TEHRAN TOKYO USDOC DATED SEPT 9.

QUOTE

UNCLAS STOCKHOLM 4471

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: BGEN, BFOL, SW

SUBJECT: DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN FOREIGN SERVICE POSTS

AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT DISTRICT OFFICES

REF: STATE 202051

- 1. THE EMBASSY IS GENERALLY IN FAVOR OF SUGGESTIONS THAT FOREIGN SERVICE POSTS AND COMMERCE DISTRICT OFFICES BE AUTHORIZED GREATER LATITUDE TO COMMUNICATE WITH ONE ANOTHER DIRECTLY. WITH SOME CAVEATS, IT WOULD SEEM THAT THE WIDEST POSSIBLE LATITUDE FOR SUCH COMMUNICATIONS WOULD REDUCE BOTH DUPLICATION AND THE TIME EXPENDED IN RESPONDING TO THE NEEDS OF US EXPORTERS. IN PRACTICE, HOWEVER SUCH AUTHORITY COULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT ONLY IF:
- A) COMMERCE AND STATE CONTINUE TO RECEIVE AND TO ORIGINATE ALL COMMUNICATIONS ESSENTIAL TO PROPER MANAGEMENT AND UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 216368

CONTROL.

B) FOR PRIORITY MESSAGES, EITHER A DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK BETWEEN POSTS AND THE D.O.'S IS ESTABLISHED

OR RAPID REPEATING FACILITIES ARE ESTABLISHED AT STATE OR COMMERCE; AND

- C) BOTH POSTS AND D.O.'S EXERCISE GOOD JUDGEMENT AND RESTRAINT WHEN CONTEMPLATING DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS WITH ONE ANOTHER.
- 2. MATTERS RELATED TO POLICY SHOULD OBVIOUSLY BE DIRECTED TO OR THROUGH THE DEPARTMENTS OF STATE AND COMMERCE. IT WOULD FIRST SEEM NECESSARY, HOWEVER, TO SURVEY WHAT OTHER CATEGORIES OF MESSAGES SHOULD BE EXCLUDED FROM DIRECT POST/D.O. COMMUNICATIONS. IN THE EXPERIENCE OF COMMERCIAL OFFICERS AT THIS POST, THERE IS LITTLE COMMUNICATION WHICH WE WOULD FIND EXPEDIENT TO SEND DIRECTLY TO D.O.'S. OOST OF THESE HAVE BEEN MENTIONED BY OTHER RESPONDENTS TO REFTEL AND WOULD MOST COMMONLY INCLUDE NOTICES OF THE ISSUANCE OF COMMERCIAL INVITATIONS, TRADE COMPLAINTS, AND THE FACILITATING OF CONTACTS BETWEEN SWEDISH FIRMS AND SPECIFIC AMERICAN COMPANIES. THERE MAY HOWEVER, BE OTHER, INFREQUENT, OCCASIONS WHEN WE WOULD LIKE TO COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY.
- 3. AS FOR COMMUNICATIONS ORIGINATING FROM THE DISTRICT OFFICE TO THE VARIOUS POSTS, THE MOST LIKELY MATTERS WOULD APPEAR TO BE REQUESTS FOR ADS'S, WTDR'S AND TRADE COMPLAINTS FROM US FIRMS. IN TERMS OF OUR OWN WORKLOAD, IT WOULD BE PARTICULARLY HELPFUL IF WE COULD REFER ALL DIRECT INQUIRIES FROM US FIRMS TO THE APPROPRIATE DISTRICT OFFICE. ALL CHANNELLED TO US FROM THE D.O.'S; POSTS WOULD BE INQUIRIES FROM US FIRMS COULD THEN BE/CERTAIN THAT THE INQUIRING FIRMS HAD MADE EVERY EFFORT TO OBTAIN THE SERVICES THEY REQUIRE FROM US SOURCES. SUCH A PRACTICSE WOULD ALSO SERVE TO BOLSTER THE DISTRICT OFFICES AS THE PRIMARY PROVIDERS OF SERVICES TO US EXPORTERS.
- 4. IN SUM, WHILE WE BELIEVE IT WORTHWHILE TO INITIATE A POLICY PERMITTING MORE DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN POSTS AND DISTRICT OFFICES, WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT SUCH A PROGRAM UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 216368

SHOULD BE CAREFULLY MONITORED TO INSURE THAT THIS AUTHORITY DID NOT GIVE RISE TO ABUSES EITHER BY POSTS OR DISTRICT OFFICES. WE WOULD SUGGEST THAT COPIES OF ALL DIRECT POST/D.O. COMMUNICATIONS, EXCEPT PERHAPS, ITEMS SENT FOR INFORMATION ONLY, BE SENT TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICES IN WASHINGTON. IT MIGHT BE BETTER TO GRANT SUCH AUTHORITY TO A NUMBER OF REPRESENTATIVE POSTS AND D.O.'S FOR A LIMITED TRIAL PERIOD -- SAY ONE YEAR -- AFTER WHICH THE EXPERIENCE COULD BE REVIEWED TO DETERMINE THE USEFULNESSS OF THE PRACTICE.

UNCLASSIFIED

STRAUSE HUPE UNQUOTE KISSINGER

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006
<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 11 SEP 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a

Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:

Disposition Reason: Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1975STATE216368
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: ARA/BR:TSHUGART

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a

Film Number: D750316-0259

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197509104/baaaabgw.tel Line Count: 109 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN ARA

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: RowellE0

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 11 JUN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <11 JUN 2003 by MaustMC>; APPROVED <29 JAN 2004 by RowellE0>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 971021 Subject: n/a TAGS: BFOR, BGEN, SW, BFOL, BGEN To: BRASILIA INFO SAO PAULO

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006